



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,548	07/03/2003	James A. Stevens	5142-001	6693
24112 7590 02/25/2008 COATS & BENNETT, PLLC 1400 Crescent Green, Suite 300 Cary, NC 27518				
EXAMINER				
LE, TAN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3632				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/25/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/613,548

Applicant(s)

STEVENS ET AL.

Examiner

Tan Le

Art Unit

3632

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 November 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9, 11-16, 18-35, 37-46 and 48-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-33 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9, 11-16, 18-23, 34-35, 37-46 and 48-55 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's reply filed 11/27/07 is acknowledged, Claims 1-9 and 11-16, 18-35, 37-46 and 48-55 are pending. Claims 10, 17, 36 and 47 have been canceled. Claims 24-33 were withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-3, 9, 14, 16, 18-23, 34, 35, 37, 39-46 and 50-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,108,996 to McGinnis.

As to claims 1-3 and 14, McGinnis teaches an assembly (31) (Fig. 1) for reinforcing an existing tower, the assembly comprising: a plurality of reinforcing legs (three legs) (33) (only one leg shown), see (Fig. 1) having a bearing plates (considers bearing plate element 61, 63) (Fig. 5) (at the end of reinforcing leg) (see also col. 2, lines 36-47)), and wherein one or more reinforcing legs comprises two or more rigid reinforcing leg sections interconnected via bridging members 45, 55 (Fig. 1 for example) and a plurality of braces (lacings (35)) (Fig. 1) (see also col. 2, lines 13-20).

As to claim 9, wherein one or more of the reinforcing legs each comprise a rigid leg having bearing plates at opposing ends (considers one of the bearing plates 61, 63 for connecting between legs 33 to form an extended length reinforcing leg along a desired portion of a leg of the existing tower).

As claim 16, wherein the reinforcing legs each comprise one or more rigid reinforcing leg sections, and wherein consecutive reinforcing leg sections of a given reinforcing legs are rigidly interconnected to achieve a desired length.

As to claim 18, the reinforcing legs each comprise a corner member (angled plate). Note that the recitation of "a corner member that wraps a round adjacent tower faces " is not considered part of the claim, therefore it also reads on McGinnis.

As to claims 19-20, wherein the braces include mounting points (at 37, 39) (Fig. 1) that align with one of more of the mounting holes in the corresponding mounting faces of the pairs of reinforcing legs.

Regarding claim 21, further comprising a guy pull-off accessory (89, 99) (for example) (fig. 6) configured to mount to a reinforcing leg rather than to a leg of the existing tower.

Regarding claim 22, McGinnis further comprising a boom gate mount (143) for example (see Fig. 9) configured to mount to a reinforcing leg rather than a leg of the existing tower.

Regarding claim 23, wherein the reinforcing legs also comprise lengths of angled plate material.

Claims 34, 35, 37, 39, and 40-46 and 50-55, recited limitations similar to those recited in claims 1-3, 9, 16 and 18-23, are therefore also disclosed by McGinnis. Note that claims 37, 44 recited the existing tower, which is not positively recited, not part of the claims (see the preamble) therefore these claims also read on McGinnis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 5-6, 7-8, 15, 38, 48-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGinnis.

As to claims 5-6, McGinnis teaches substantially as claimed as discussed above except for the cross braces sections that can be adjustable by having brace section jointed by sleeve nuts. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the brace section adjustable by having section members joined by sleeve nuts, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. In re Stevens, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

As to claim 15, McGinnis also does not appear to teach the bridge sections comprise elongated plate that interconnect spaced apart sections of the rigid leg while leaving open one or more section gaps to accommodate corresponding obstacles. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide elongated plate that interconnect spaced apart sections of the rigid legs, since it has been held that constructing a formely integral structure in various elements to leave it open or separate for adjustability to accommodate various objects, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art.

As to claims 7-8 and 38, McGinnis also does not specifically teach the plurality of reinforcing legs comprising a set of reinforcing legs and the plurality of braces comprising a set of braces. It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a set or sets of reinforcing legs or braces (or plurality of sections joint together), since it has been held that constructing

a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art.
Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

As to claims 48 and 49, McGinnis disclose substantially as claimed as discussed above except for the bearing plate having a cut out portion, however to have a cut out portion extending to a plate edge would have also been considered an obvious matter of design choice to modify the invention as specified in claims 45, 46 48 and 49.

As to claims 50-55, McGinnis as modified also teach all the limitations of claims 50-55 as discussed in claims 18-23.

Claims 12-13, 35 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGinnis in view of US Patent No. 6,745,539 to Heim.

As to claims 12-13 and 35, McGinnis teaches substantially as claimed as discussed above except for each bearing plate comprising substantially flat plate attached normal to a long axis of the reinforcing leg to which it is mounted and wherein the bearing plate includes one or more mounting holes to align with existing bolt holes in a leg flange at a section joint of the existing tower, and wherein

Heim teaches bearing plate(s) (14, 16, 20, 22) comprising substantially flat plate attached normal to a long axis of the reinforcing leg to which it is mounted and wherein the bearing plate includes one or more mounting holes to align with existing bolt holes in a leg flange at a section joint of the existing tower.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a flat bearing plate attached normal to a long axis of the

Art Unit: 3632

reinforcing leg wherein the bearing plate include one or more mounting holes to align with existing bolts in a leg flange as in Heim in order to permit interconnection of abutting bearing plates on consecutive reinforcing legs positioned along an existing tower to form an extended length.

As to claims 4 and 11 to provide the reinforcing legs with shim joints for accommodating tower dimensional variance by adjusting nominal length of the reinforcing legs would also have been considered an obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. In re Stevens, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954)

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/15/07 have been fully considered. However, upon further consideration, the indicated allowability claims in the previous office action has been withdrawn in view of the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, this action is made NON-Final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-6818. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Fri. from 9:00 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on (571) 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Amy J. Sterling/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3632
2/19/08

/Tan Le/
Examiner, Art Unit 3632